UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,960	10/28/2003	Isabelle Laye	1410/79708	4443
	7590	EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH LA	ASALLE STREET	WONG, LESLIE A		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	60603-3406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/694,960	LAYE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leslie Wong	1794		

	Leslie Wong	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>three</u> months from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 27-42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 6 4 1 6 6 6 1 N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the claimed invention does not patentably define over the			ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13.							
	/Leslie Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1794					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 27 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.